

**BARSHAY SANDERS, PLLC**

100 Garden City Plaza, Suite 500

Garden City, New York 11530

Tel: (516) 203-7600

Fax: (516) 706-5055

Email: *ConsumerRights@BarshaySanders.com*

*Attorneys for Plaintiff*

Our File No.: 113355

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

Donald Duvert,

Plaintiff,

vs.

Midland Credit Management, Inc.,

Defendant.

Docket No:

**COMPLAINT**

**JURY TRIAL DEMANDED**

Donald Duvert (hereinafter referred to as “*Plaintiff*”), by and through the undersigned counsel, complains, states and alleges against Midland Credit Management, Inc. (hereinafter referred to as “*Defendant*”), as follows:

**INTRODUCTION**

1. This action seeks to recover for violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692, *et seq.*, (“FDCPA”).

**JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

2. This Court has federal subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d).

3. Venue is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred in this Judicial District.

4. At all relevant times, Defendant conducted business within the State of New York.

## **PARTIES**

5. Plaintiff Donald Duvert is an individual who is a citizen of the State of New York residing in Nassau County, New York.

6. Plaintiff is a “consumer” as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3).

7. On information and belief, Defendant Midland Credit Management, Inc., is a California Corporation with a principal place of business in San Diego County, California.

8. Defendant is regularly engaged, for profit, in the collection of debts allegedly owed by consumers.

9. Defendant is a “debt collector” as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).

## **ALLEGATIONS**

10. Defendant alleges Plaintiff owes a debt (“the Debt”).

11. The Debt was primarily for personal, family or household purposes and is therefore a “debt” as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(5).

12. Sometime after the incurrence of the Debt, Plaintiff fell behind on payments owed.

13. Thereafter, at an exact time known only to Defendant, the Debt was assigned or otherwise transferred to Defendant for collection.

14. In its efforts to collect the debt, Defendant contacted Plaintiff by letter (“the Letter”). (“**Exhibit 1.**”)

15. The Letter was the initial communication Plaintiff received from Defendant.

16. The Letter is a “communication” as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(2).

## **FIRST COUNT**

### **Violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a)(3)**

17. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the foregoing paragraphs as if fully restated herein.

18. 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a)(3) requires that within five days after the initial communication with a consumer in connection with the collection of any debt a debt collector shall, unless the following information is contained in the initial communication or the consumer has paid the debt, send the consumer a written notice containing a statement that unless the consumer, within thirty days after receipt of the notice, disputes the validity of the debt, or any

portion thereof, the debt will be assumed to be valid by the debt collector.”

19. The Letter fails to contain the required 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a)(3) disclosure.

20. Defendant violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a)(3) by its failure to provide the information required by that Section.

**SECOND COUNT**  
**Violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a)(4)**

21. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the foregoing paragraphs as if fully restated herein.

22. 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a)(4) requires that within five days after the initial communication with a consumer in connection with the collection of any debt a debt collector shall, unless the following information is contained in the initial communication or the consumer has paid the debt, send the consumer a written notice containing a statement that if the consumer notifies the debt collector in writing within the thirty-day period that the debt, or any portion thereof, is disputed, the debt collector will obtain verification of the debt or a copy of a judgment against the consumer and a copy of such verification or judgment will be mailed to the consumer by the debt collector.

23. The Letter fails to contain the required 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a)(4) disclosure.

24. Defendant violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a)(4) by its failure to provide the information required by that Section.

**THIRD COUNT**  
**Violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a)(5)**

25. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the foregoing paragraphs as if fully restated herein.

26. 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a)(5) requires that within five days after the initial communication with a consumer in connection with the collection of any debt a debt collector shall, unless the following information is contained in the initial communication or the consumer has paid the debt, send the consumer a written notice containing a statement that, upon the consumer’s written request within the thirty-day period, the debt collector will provide the consumer with the name and address of the original creditor, if different from the current creditor.

27. The Letter fails to contain the required 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a)(5) disclosure.

28. Defendant violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a)(5) by its failure to provide the information required by that Section.

**FOURTH COUNT**  
**Violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692g**  
**Failure to Adequately Convey the Amount of the Debt**

29. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the foregoing paragraphs as if fully restated herein.

30. 15 U.S.C. § 1692g provides that within five days after the initial communication with a consumer in connection with the collection of any debt, a debt collector shall, unless the information is contained in the initial communication or the consumer has paid the debt, send the consumer a written notice containing certain enumerated information.

31. 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a)(1) requires the written notice provide “the amount of the debt.”

32. The question of whether a written notice adequately provides “the amount of the debt” is determined from the perspective of the “least sophisticated consumer.”

33. The written notice, to comply with 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a)(1), must convey the amount of the debt clearly from the perspective of the least sophisticated consumer.

34. The written notice, to comply with 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a)(1), must convey the amount of the debt accurately from the perspective of the least sophisticated consumer.

35. The written notice, to comply with 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a)(1), must convey the amount of the debt without ambiguity from the perspective of the least sophisticated consumer.

36. The written notice, to comply with 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a)(1), must allow the least sophisticated consumer to determine the minimum amount she owes at the time of the notice.

37. The written notice, to comply with 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a)(1), must allow the least sophisticated consumer to determine what she will need to pay to resolve the debt at any given moment in the future.

38. The written notice, to comply with 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a)(1), must contain an explanation, understandable by the least sophisticated consumer, of any fees or interest that may cause the balance to increase at any time in the future.

39. The failure to include the foregoing information renders an otherwise accurate statement of the “amount of the debt,” violative of 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a)(1).

40. Even if a debt collector accurately conveys the foregoing information, the written notice nevertheless violates 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a)(1) if the least sophisticated consumer could inaccurately interpret the message.

41. The Debt was incurred on a credit card issued by Comenity Capital Bank.
42. At all relevant times herein, the Debt accrued, and was subject to, interest.
43. At all relevant times herein, the Debt accrued, and was subject to, late fees.
44. The Letter sets forth a “Current Balance.”
45. The Letter fails to state what part of the amount stated is principal.
46. The Letter fails to state what part of the amount stated is interest.
47. The Letter fails to state what part of the amount stated is late fees.
48. The Letter fails to disclose whether the amount stated may increase due to

additional interest.

49. The Letter fails to disclose whether the amount stated may increase due to additional late fees.

50. The Letter fails to indicate the minimum amount Plaintiff owed at the time of the Letter.

51. The Letter fails to provide any information that would allow Plaintiff to determine what Plaintiff will need to pay to resolve the debt at any given moment in the future.

52. The Letter fails to include any “safe harbor” language concerning the accrual of interest.

53. The Letter fails to include any “safe harbor” language concerning the accrual of late fees.

54. The Letter, because of the aforementioned failures, and especially because of the use of the word “Current,” would render the least sophisticated consumer unable to determine the minimum amount owed at the time of the Letter.

55. The Letter, because of the aforementioned failures, and especially because of the use of the word “Current,” would render the least sophisticated consumer unable to determine what she will need to pay to resolve the debt at any given moment in the future.

56. The Letter, because of the aforementioned failures, and especially because of the use of the word “Current,” would render the least sophisticated consumer unable to determine the amount of his or her debt.

57. The Letter, because of the aforementioned failures, and especially because of the use of the word “Current,” would render the least sophisticated consumer unable to determine the amount of her debt because the consumer would not know whether interest and fees would

continue to accrue, or whether the amount of the debt was static.

58. The least sophisticated consumer, because of the aforementioned failures, could reasonably believe that the debt could be satisfied by remitting the amount stated at any time after receipt of the Letter.

59. The least sophisticated consumer, because of the aforementioned failures, could also reasonably believe that the amount stated was accurate only on the date of the Letter because of the continued accumulation of interest.

60. The least sophisticated consumer, because of the aforementioned failures, could also reasonably believe that the amount stated was accurate only on the date of the Letter because of the continued accumulation of late fees.

61. If interest is continuing to accrue, the least sophisticated consumer would not know how to satisfy the debt because the Letter fails to indicate the applicable interest rate.

62. If interest is continuing to accrue, the least sophisticated consumer would not know how to satisfy the debt because the Letter fails to indicate the date of accrual of interest.

63. If interest is continuing to accrue, the least sophisticated consumer would not know how to satisfy the debt because the Letter fails to provide the amount of interest during any measurable period.

64. If interest is continuing to accrue, the least sophisticated consumer would not know how to satisfy the debt because the Letter fails to provide any information that would enable to consumer to determine what she will need to pay to resolve on any date after the date of the Letter.

65. If interest is continuing to accrue, the least sophisticated consumer would not know how to satisfy the debt because the Letter fails to provide any information that would enable to consumer to determine what she will need to pay to resolve the debt in the future.

66. If late fees are continuing to accrue, the least sophisticated consumer would not know how to satisfy the debt because the Letter fails to indicate the amount of late fees.

67. If late fees are continuing to accrue, the least sophisticated consumer would not know how to satisfy the debt because the Letter fails to indicate the date such fees will be added.

68. If late fees are continuing to accrue, the least sophisticated consumer would not know how to satisfy the debt because the Letter fails to provide the amount of late fees during any measurable period.

69. If late fees are continuing to accrue, the least sophisticated consumer would not know how to satisfy the debt because the Letter fails to provide any information that would enable to consumer to determine what she will need to pay to resolve on any date after the date of the Letter.

70. If late fees are continuing to accrue, the least sophisticated consumer would not know how to satisfy the debt because the Letter fails to provide any information that would enable to consumer to determine what she will need to pay to resolve the debt in the future.

71. The failure to include the foregoing information could lead the least sophisticated consumer to inaccurately interpret the message.

72. The failure to include the foregoing information renders the Letter's statement of the amount of the debt, even if otherwise accurate, incomplete.

73. The failure to include the foregoing information renders the Letter's statement of the amount of the debt, even if otherwise accurate, insufficient.

74. The failure to include the foregoing information renders the Letter's statement of the amount of the debt, even if otherwise accurate, violative of 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a)(1).

75. For these reasons, Defendant violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a)(1).

**FIFTH COUNT**  
**Violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692e**  
**False or Misleading Representations**

76. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the foregoing paragraphs as if fully restated herein.

77. 15 U.S.C. § 1692e prohibits a debt collector from using any false, deceptive, or misleading representation or means in connection with the collection of any debt.

78. While § 1692e specifically prohibits certain practices, the list is non-exhaustive, and does not preclude a claim of falsity or deception based on any non-enumerated practice.

79. The question of whether a collection letter is deceptive is determined from the perspective of the "least sophisticated consumer."

80. A collection letter is deceptive under 15 U.S.C. § 1692e if it can reasonably be read by the least sophisticated consumer to have two or more meanings, one of which is inaccurate.

81. A collection letter is also deceptive under 15 U.S.C. § 1692e if it is reasonably susceptible to an inaccurate reading by the least sophisticated consumer.

82. 15 U.S.C. § 1692e requires debt collectors, when they notify consumers of their account balance, to disclose whether the balance may increase due to interest and fees.

83. The amount of the debt is a material piece of information to a consumer.

84. Knowing the amount of the debt affects how a consumer responds to a debt collector's attempts to collect the debt.

85. As previously alleged, the least sophisticated consumer could reasonably read the Letter to mean that the amount stated was static.

86. As previously alleged, the least sophisticated consumer could also reasonably read the Letter to mean that the amount stated was dynamic due to the continued accumulation of interest and/or late fees.

87. Because the Letter can reasonably be read by the least sophisticated consumer to have two or more meanings, one of which is inaccurate, as described, it is deceptive under 15 U.S.C. § 1692e.

88. Because the Letter is susceptible to an inaccurate reading by the least sophisticated consumer, it is deceptive under 15 U.S.C. § 1692e.

89. For these reasons, Defendant violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692e.

#### **JURY DEMAND**

90. Plaintiff hereby demands a trial of this action by jury.

#### **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

**WHEREFORE**, Plaintiff respectfully requests judgment as follows:

- a. Damages against Defendant pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k; and
- b. Plaintiff's attorneys' fees pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k; and
- c. Plaintiff's costs; all together with
- d. Such other relief that the Court determines is just and proper.

DATED: May 6, 2017



**BARSHAY SANDERS, PLLC**

By: /s/ Craig B. Sanders

Craig B. Sanders, Esq.

100 Garden City Plaza, Suite 500

Garden City, New York 11530

Tel: (516) 203-7600

Fax: (516) 706-5055

csanders@barshaysanders.com

*Attorneys for Plaintiff*

Our File No.: 113355

BARSHAY | SANDERS PLLC  
100 GARDEN CITY PLAZA, SUITE 500  
GARDEN CITY, NEW YORK 11530